

Slovakia Training

21st – 24th November 2018

Quality Assurance Report & Evaluation

In Last week of November, University of Pavol Jozef Safarik University, Faculty of Medicine in Kosice, Slovakia. held 3 days training activity in MediTec Project which was the first training event in list of training event agreed upon during the first inaugural meeting held in Dead Sea Jordan March 2018. 3 – 4 Staff members from each NEU university assigned to join the training course in addition to allocated staff from the hosting university

<i>Org. Name</i>	<i>Number of Participant</i>
<i>University of Basrah/College of Medicine - Basrah</i>	3
<i>University of Duhok (UoD) - Duhok</i>	4
<i>Princess Sumaya University for Technology</i>	3
<i>Hashemite University</i>	3
<i>Tehran University of Medical Sciences</i>	3
<i>Iran University of Medical Science</i>	3
<i>Yarmouk University</i>	3
<i>University of Malta</i>	1
<i>Jordan University of Science and Technology</i>	3
<i>Jordan University</i>	3

Staff from Baghdad University were unable to join the meeting due to failure to obtain the Schengan Visa.

The Training course held in Pavol Jozef Safarik University over 4 days periods cover the following topics

- Cardiovascular Prevention
- Anatomy
- Nursing Care
- Public Health and Hygiene
- Medical Physiology

- Biophysics in Medicine
- Experimental Medicine
- Biomedical Engineering
- First Aid in health care disciplines
- Simulation in Gynecology and Obstetrics
- Simulation in surgery

The training evaluation form (QPAF-TE) were handled to all participant in a paper format at the end of training events to address their opinion in the training activity already held with result of evaluation as follow :

Details analysis of training evaluation form

	Percentage of Answered Scores					Mean of Score	Satisfaction Percentage
	1 Poor	2	3	4	5 very good		
What is your opinion of the general organization and facilities of the training session?	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	6.8%	10.4%	4.1	81.84
To which extent did the training/info session live up to your expectations?	0.0%	3.9%	3.8%	9.0%	8.8%	4.0	79.2
What is your opinion of the Trainers?	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	7.2%	11.7%	4.3	86.24
How do you evaluate the relevance and clarity of the topics of the training session?	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	9.2%	7.8%	3.9	78.32
How do you evaluate the technical resources used?	0.0%	3.8%	2.8%	8.7%	9.6%	4.0	80.96
How effective do you think was the methodology used?	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	3.8%	12.8%	4.2	84.48
How useful was the training material used?	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	9.2%	7.8%	3.9	78.32
How valuable was the training for your professional growth?	0.0%	3.9%	3.0%	14.2%	4.7%	3.8	76.56
Would you recommend this session to somebody else?	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	5.8%	11.2%	4.1	82.72

To Summarized:

the first 10 questions were asked in 5 Likert scale formats ranging from 1 poor to 5 very good and result of these question whereas follow

Most of Questions get a satisfaction rate exceeding 75 % which indicate good performance of the training in at least fulfill its objectives

Three opened questions were present to the participants asking for their opinion in the following

- Which topics were not covered?
- Which items were not relevant?
- Are you interested in other themes or topics, other events or seminars? Which ones?

The response indicated that

Most participant stated that all the topics being covered, a special interest in augmented reality and virtual reality were address by some of participant.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Nazar S. Haddad', is positioned above the printed name.

Asst. Prof. Nazar S. Haddad
Vice Dean for Scientific Affairs
L.E.A.R of University of Basrah
Local Coordinator and Project Manager